



## Notice of meeting of

### Scrutiny Management Committee

**To:** Councillors Galvin (Chair), Blanchard (Vice-Chair), Kirk, Moore, Scott, Simpson-Laing, Taylor and R Watson

**Date:** Monday, 26 November 2007

**Time:** 5.30 pm

**Venue:** The Guildhall

### AGENDA

#### 1. **Declarations of Interest**

At this point Members are asked to declare any personal or prejudicial interests they may have in the business on this agenda.

#### 2. **Minutes** (Pages 3 - 6)

To approve and sign the Minutes of the meeting held on 22 October 2007.

#### 3. **Public Participation**

At this point in the meeting members of the public who have registered their wish to speak regarding an item on the agenda or an issue within the Committee's remit can do so. Anyone who wishes to register or requires further information is requested to contact the Democracy Officer on the contact details listed at the foot of this agenda. The deadline for registering is Friday 23 November 2007 at 5 pm.

#### **4. Update on Implementation of the Recommendations of Previous Scrutiny Reviews (Pages 7 - 16)**

This report provides Members with an update on the implementation of recommendations made as a result of the following scrutiny reviews completed since 2004:

- Take-Aways; Powers of Enforcement – completed October 2005
- Recycling & Re-use – completed September 2006
- Reducing Carbon Emissions – completed September 2006

#### **5. Any other business which the Chair decides is urgent under the Local Government Act 1972**

##### Democracy Officer:

Name: Simon Copley

Contact details:

- Telephone – (01904) 551078
- E-mail – [simon.copley@york.gov.uk](mailto:simon.copley@york.gov.uk)

For more information about any of the following please contact the Democracy Officer responsible for servicing this meeting:

- Registering to speak
- Business of the meeting
- Any special arrangements
- Copies of reports

Contact details are set out above.

## About City of York Council Meetings

### Would you like to speak at this meeting?

If you would, you will need to:

- register by contacting the Democracy Officer (whose name and contact details can be found on the agenda for the meeting) **no later than 5.00 pm** on the last working day before the meeting;
- ensure that what you want to say speak relates to an item of business on the agenda or an issue which the committee has power to consider (speak to the Democracy Officer for advice on this);
- find out about the rules for public speaking from the Democracy Officer.

**A leaflet on public participation is available on the Council's website or from Democratic Services by telephoning York (01904) 551088**

### Further information about what's being discussed at this meeting

All the reports which Members will be considering are available for viewing online on the Council's website. Alternatively, copies of individual reports or the full agenda are available from Democratic Services. Contact the Democracy Officer whose name and contact details are given on the agenda for the meeting. **Please note a small charge may be made for full copies of the agenda requested to cover administration costs.**

### Access Arrangements

We will make every effort to make the meeting accessible to you. The meeting will usually be held in a wheelchair accessible venue with an induction hearing loop. We can provide the agenda or reports in large print, electronically (computer disk or by email), in Braille or on audio tape. Some formats will take longer than others so please give as much notice as possible (at least 48 hours for Braille or audio tape).

If you have any further access requirements such as parking close-by or a sign language interpreter then please let us know. Contact the Democracy Officer whose name and contact details are given on the order of business for the meeting.

Every effort will also be made to make information available in another language, either by providing translated information or an interpreter providing sufficient advance notice is given. Telephone York (01904) 551550 for this service.

যদি যথেষ্ট আগে থেকে জানানো হয় তাহলে অন্য কোন অর্ধাতে তথ্য জানানোর জন্য সব ধরনের চেষ্টা করা হবে, এর জন্য দরকার হলে তথ্য অনুবাদ করে দেয়া হবে অথবা একজন দোঅবী সারবরাহ করা হবে। টেলিফোন নম্বর (01904) 551 550।

*Yeteri kadar önceden haber verilmesi koşuluyla, bilgilerin terümesini hazırlatmak ya da bir tercüman bulmak için mümkün olan herşey yapılacaktır. Tel: (01904) 551 550*

我們竭力使提供的資訊備有不同語言版本，在有充足時間提前通知的情況下會安排筆譯或口譯服務。電話 (01904) 551 550。

اگر مناسب وقت سے اطلاع دی جاتی ہے تو ہم معلومات کا ترجمہ مہیا کرنے کی پوری کوشش کریں گے۔ ٹیلی فون (01904) 551 550

*Informacja może być dostępna w tłumaczeniu, jeśli dostaniemy zapotrzebowanie z wystarczającym wyprzedzeniem. Tel: (01904) 551 550*

### **Holding the Executive to Account**

The majority of councillors are not appointed to the Executive (38 out of 47). Any 3 non-Executive councillors can 'call-in' an item of business from a published Executive (or Executive Member Advisory Panel (EMAP)) agenda. The Executive will still discuss the 'called in' business on the published date and will set out its views for consideration by a specially convened Scrutiny Management Committee (SMC). That SMC meeting will then make its recommendations to the next scheduled Executive meeting in the following week, where a final decision on the 'called-in' business will be made.

### **Scrutiny Committees**

The purpose of all scrutiny and ad-hoc scrutiny committees appointed by the Council is to:

- Monitor the performance and effectiveness of services;
- Review existing policies and assist in the development of new ones, as necessary; and
- Monitor best value continuous service improvement plans

### **Who Gets Agenda and Reports for our Meetings?**

- Councillors get copies of all agenda and reports for the committees to which they are appointed by the Council;
- Relevant Council Officers get copies of relevant agenda and reports for the committees which they report to;
- Public libraries get copies of **all** public agenda/reports.

City of York Council

Committee Minutes

---

MEETING	SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
DATE	22 OCTOBER 2007
PRESENT	COUNCILLORS GALVIN (CHAIR), MOORE, SIMPSON-LAING, SCOTT, TAYLOR, R WATSON AND B WATSON (AS SUBSTITUTE FOR BLANCHARD)
APOLOGIES	COUNCILLORS BLANCHARD AND KIRK

---

**21. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Members were invited to declare at this point in the meeting any personal or prejudicial interests they might have in the business on the agenda.

No interests were declared.

**22. MINUTES**

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting of the Scrutiny Management Committee held on 17 September 2007 be approved and signed by the Chair as a correct record, with an amendment to include Cllr Galvin once, rather than twice, in the attendance list.

**23. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

It was reported that there had been no registrations to speak at the meeting under the Council's Public Participation Scheme.

**24. UPDATE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS OF PREVIOUS SCRUTINY REVIEWS**

Members received a report which provided them with an update on the implementation of recommendations made as a result of a scrutiny review of Takeaways: Powers of Enforcement, completed in October 2005.

Members expressed concern that two years after the conclusion of the scrutiny little progress appeared to have been made. They were particularly concerned about the lack of any information on the implementation of recommendations 1 and 3, and the inadequate information supplied on some of the others, such as recommendation 9, which just listed equipment issued to planning enforcement officers but did not address the issue raised regarding the variable levels of equipment between departments. They highlighted that a number of the recommendations appeared to have been ignored, such as recommendation 6, regarding the need for "unmarked" pool cars to available without pre-booking, and recommendation 7, regarding the need for planning enforcements officers to process their own prosecutions.

They also noted that the responses to recommendations 2 and 8 had a single departmental focus, on planning, rather than the multi-departmental approach the Scrutiny Board had advocated. Given that no officers had attended the meeting to deal with the Committee's queries and concerns, it was suggested that consideration of the item be deferred for provision of all of the necessary information.

RESOLVED: That consideration of this item be deferred until the next meeting of the Committee, for the provision of detailed and accurate information about if and when the recommendations would be implemented, and, if they would not be implemented, the reasons why.

REASON: To raise awareness of those recommendations which have still to be implemented.

## **25. UPDATE ON WORK OF HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

Members received a report which had been intended to introduce an update report from Cllr Tina Funnell as Chair of the Health Scrutiny Committee, on the activities and work to date of the Committee. However Cllr Funnell was unable to attend the meeting owing to illness and a copy of the Committee's work programme was therefore circulated at the meeting for consideration instead.

The report made reference to the following:

- A work planning event in which Members had learned about the plans and priorities of health partners;
- A community consultation event in which Members had listened to the concerns of patient and community groups;
- Planned work for the remainder of the municipal year;
- Other relevant issues connected with the health of the citizens of York.

The Committee's programme of work for the remainder of the year was likely to include some or all of the following:

- Contributing to the "Annual Health Check" – the assessment process for NHS Trusts;
- Working with Council Officers who were responsible for procuring the host organisation for the new Local Involvement Network (LINK);
- Considering alternatives to in-patient hospital treatment, particularly in relation to the care and management of one or more long-term conditions;
- Considering the work of North Yorkshire and York Primary Care Trust's (NYYPCT) Exceptions Panel;
- Receiving updates on issues investigated previously by the Health Scrutiny Committee, i.e. dental services in York and the financial status of NYYPCT.

RESOLVED: That the report on the progress of the Health Scrutiny Committee be noted and future updates be received every 3 months.

REASON: To inform Scrutiny Management Committee of the work and progress of the Health Scrutiny Committee.

**26. DRAINAGE IN YORK - FEASIBILITY STUDY**

Members received a report which presented the feasibility study for the proposed new scrutiny topic on drainage in York and sought a decision on whether to proceed with a scrutiny review.

Officers updated Members, in relation to paragraph 9 of the report, that the Leader of the Liberal Democrat Group had responded to the consultation and had no objection to the topic proposed.

A revised version of Annex A, the scrutiny topic registration form, was circulated at the meeting, and Cllr Moore, the proposer of the topic, spoke in its support.

The Assistant Director (City Development & Transport) and the Head of Engineering Consultancy attended the meeting. They briefed Members on work currently being carried out to implement the motion passed by Full Council in October and on an officer review of the flooding in June, which would be reported to the Executive Member for Neighbourhood Services and Advisory Panel (EMAP) in December. They also reported that the Department for the Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) was carrying out a number of studies across the country on the inter-relationship between the different agencies involved in dealing with flooding.

Some Members expressed the view that scrutiny of this topic at the current time would be premature pending the outcome of work at local and national levels. It was suggested that the topic be rejected now and that a new registration form be submitted after the report to Neighbourhoods Services EMAP had been considered, focused on the specific areas which might require further review in the light of the contents of this report.

RESOLVED: That it be agreed not to proceed with a review of the topic on drainage in York at the present time, and Cllr Moore be advised that a new registration form could be submitted following the consideration of the officer review report at Neighbourhood Services EMAP.

REASON: Given that any scrutiny review of this topic would be premature, pending the findings emerging from the existing officer review and the work being done to respond to the Council motion.

**27. URGENT BUSINESS - TRAFFIC CONGESTION AD-HOC SCRUTINY  
SUB-COMMITTEE: CO-OPTION**

Members received a report which requested them to consider formally co-opting Matthew Page, a lecturer at the Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, onto the Traffic Congestion Scrutiny Sub-Committee.

The Chair had agreed to accept this item onto the published agenda under urgent business as it had been outstanding for some time and Mr Page required clarity as to his role and position with regard to his work with the Scrutiny Sub-Committee.

RESOLVED: That Matthew Page be formally appointed as a non-voting co-opted Member for the duration of the Traffic Congestion Ad Hoc Scrutiny Sub-Committee.

REASON: In keeping with other existing co-option practices.

Cllr J Galvin, Chair

[The meeting started at 5.00 pm and finished at 5.53 pm].





---

## Scrutiny Management Committee

26 November 2007

Report of the Head of Civic Democratic & Legal Services

### Update on Implementation of Recommendations of Previous Scrutiny Reviews

#### Summary

1. This report provides Members with an update on the implementation of recommendations made as a result of the following scrutiny reviews completed since 2004.
  - Take-Aways; Powers of Enforcement – completed October 2005
  - Recycling & Re-use – completed September 2006
  - Reducing Carbon Emissions – completed September 2006

#### Background

2. At a previous meeting of Scrutiny Management Committee, Members requested an update on the implementation of the recommendations approved by the Executive as a result of all completed scrutiny reviews since 2004.
3. In February 2006 Members considered a report which highlighted those reviews and a decision was taken to write off any where full implementation had occurred. Members then requested that each of the outstanding reviews be looked at in detail.
4. Members received a written update on the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the review of Takeaways: Powers of Enforcement, at a meeting of Scrutiny Management Committee on 22 October 2007. Having considered the information provided, Members agreed that there were some areas where the information was not as thorough as they would like and they therefore requested provision of a further update to ensure a complete understanding of the current implementation status.

#### Consultation

5. In regard to the review of Takeaways: Powers of Enforcement, a further update is attached at Annex A, and the Assistant Director of Planning & Sustainable Development will be in attendance at the meeting to answer any questions Members may have relating to the information provided.
6. Further to the 'Reducing Carbon Emissions' and the 'Recycling & Reuse' reviews, written updates on their implementation status has been provided by

The Head of Housing Services and the Acting Assistant Director of Environment and Fleet respectively – see Annexes B & C.

### **Options**

7. Members may decide to sign off any individual recommendations where implementation has been completed, and can:
  - a. request further updates and the attendance of the relevant officers at a future meeting to clarify any outstanding recommendations relating to the above reviews or;
  - b. agree to receive no further updates on these reviews

### **Corporate Priorities**

8. The process of monitoring and ensuring the implementation of approved recommendations relating to the completed scrutiny reviews listed above will contribute to the following corporate priorities:

#### Review

Takeaways; Powers of Enforcement & Reducing Carbon Emissions

Recycling & Re-use

#### Corporate Priority

Reducing the environmental impact of council activities and encourage, empower and promote others to do the same

Decreasing the tonnage of biodegradable waste and recyclable products going to landfill

### **Implications**

8. There are no known Financial, Human Resources, Equalities, Legal, ITT or Other implications connected to this report.

### **Risk Management**

9. In compliance with the Council's risk management strategy, there are no known risks associated with this report.

### **Recommendations**

10. Members are asked to note the contents of this report and agree which of the recommendations can be written off as fully implemented.

Reason: To raise awareness of those recommendations which have still to be implemented.

**Contact Details**

**Author:**

Melanie Carr  
Scrutiny Officer  
Scrutiny Services  
01904 552063

**Chief Officer Responsible for the report:**

Colin Langley  
Acting Head of Civic, Democratic & Legal Services  
01904 552001

**Report Approved**



**Date** 16 November 2007

**Wards Affected:**

**All**



**For further information please contact the author of the report**

**Background Papers:** None

**Annexes:** Updates on implementation of agreed recommendations :

Annex A - Takeaways: Powers of Enforcement

Annex B - Reducing Carbon Emissions

Annex C - Recycling & Re-use

This page is intentionally left blank

Board and Topic	Rec No.	Recommendations as approved by the Executive on 6 December 2005	Update on Implementation of Recommendations as at September 2007
<b>Environmental &amp; Sustainability Scrutiny Board - Take-Aways; Powers of Enforcement (Review Completed October 2005)</b>	1	The Environment and Sustainability Scrutiny Board would welcome the positive contribution that the success of the penalty notice support bid would make to addressing these issues.	Update required from Environmental regulation
	2	A multi-agency access database containing details about all individual take-away properties should be created. Such details should be in the form of notes on disturbance, environmental health issues, actions taken to ensure compliance etc and updated by licensing, planning, environmental health and the community police as appropriate. This should be maintained to ensure that it remains current	The PSO G7 - Use of technology to integrate planning, regulation and licensing functions project is still working towards a solution; this is being coordinated by IT. The UNIFORM system provides information, including any conditions imposed on take-aways granted planning permission since 1996. Subject to available IT licences this information is available to other Council departments. Environmental regulation does now have access to UNIFORM. Limited information on Planning Enforcement cases is also available.
	3	Under Section 17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1998 this information could, and should, be shared with North Yorkshire Police. This would allow Police Officers to assist in the collecting of evidence about late-night activities. The Environment and Sustainability Scrutiny Board would welcome the positive contribution that the success of the IT bid would make to addressing these issues.	An enforcement protocol has been formulated and now being implemented. The licensing authority, police, fire, epc, trading standards meet on regular basis to discuss problem premises whether licensed or takeaways. Resulting from the scrutiny and working with the above agencies we now seek conditions attached to takeaway licences - for example conditions to reduce litter, noise nuisance or to prevent crime and disorder for example insisting on CCTV, Pager systems employing door staff.
	4	That activities be coordinated between all relevant City of York Council Departments (including Street Environment, Environmental Protection Unit, Planning Enforcement and Licensing Officers); especially at the point of determining which enforcement regime would be most effective. Working practices need to be agreed and joint training sessions considered where relevant, to avoid duplication or unwitting interference in each other's cases.	Please see above. Also, Licensing now work more closely with the street cleaning teams identifying failing premises. As reported in September, co-ordination does take place in relation to Enforcement matters. Working relationship with other Directorates is informal. Officers speak to each other, share information and apportion work depending on their statutory function.

Board and Topic	Rec No.	Recommendations as approved by SMC on 23 October 2005	Update on Implementation of Recommendations as of September 2007
<b>Environmental &amp; Sustainability Scrutiny Board - Take-Aways; Powers of Enforcement (Review Completed October 2005)</b>	5	That the Assistant Director in responsible for the Planning and Enforcement Team be instructed to review risk assessments carried out for all aspects of the officer's duties and to thereafter produce appropriate working practice agreements in consultation with the appropriate Officer In Human Resources.	Risk assessments have been carried out and published. Late night visits are always carried out by officers in pairs, as are any other visits where there is potential for conflict, and using a Council vehicle where appropriate.Planning Enforcement use unmarked vehicle.
	6	An official vehicle should be available during the day, or close parking provided for the on-call officer's personal vehicle. Council owned transport should be provided if the officer is working a night shift. Both marked and unmarked vehicles should be available, as required; especially for out of hours working.	Both "marked" and "unmarked" pool cars are available during the day and in the evening, the latter subject to pre-booking. Planning Enforcement officers have permits valid for most Council owned car parks.
	7	That Planning Enforcement Officers be enabled to process their own prosecutions, that at least one Planning Enforcement Officer to undergo formal Court Training in order to support this.	PEOs do not process their own prosecutions. At least one enforcement officer has undergone Court Training, and officer have attended additional training in relation to formal cautions and prosecution procedures in the last 6 months
	8	That an investigation should be undertaken to assess which other officers are able to supplement the Planning Enforcement team.	A report into staffing resource shortfalls in Planning has identified a requirement for dedicated enforcement and appeals admin. Outcome of consideration of the report is awaited
	9	Officers should be equipped with the necessary tools to undertake their work. The present level of equipment between departments is variable. Equipment should be assessed to meet the needs of the work and ensure equality of access between equivalent areas of work	PEOs are issued with Personal Protective Equipment , cameras, measuring equipment, mobile phones etc as required. Equipment required is regularly reviewed with priority given to any protective equipment required .
<p><b><u>Comment from Scrutiny Management Committee as of 23 July 2007:</u></b>  The implementation of these recommendations is not complete therefore this review cannot be signed off. Assistant Director of Planning &amp; Sustainable Development to attend next meeting of SMC in September 2007 to present update and answer any questions arising</p>			

Board and Topic	Rec No.	Recommendations as approved by the Executive on 7 November 2006	Update on Recommendations as of November 2007
<b>Reducing Carbon Emissions Scrutiny Sub-Committee (Review Completed Sept 2006)</b>	1	<p>That Climate Change Strategies and Action Plans are developed by the Council's Sustainability Officer as a matter of urgency. This to be done with a view to best practice approaches used by other Local Authorities. It is acknowledged that the Council is already well advanced in developing its strategies for dealing with climate change, in so far as it has powers to do so, and anticipates that a consultants report will be submitted to Executive shortly. At that time the SMC may, of course if they wish, choose to review the contents of the report".</p>	<p>In September 2007 the Environmental Sustainability Strategy and Action Plan towards a Climate Change Strategy for York was endorsed by the Executive. This strategy takes a two pronged approach to tackling climate change. The first is to reduce emissions that are a direct result of council activities and this is being taken forward by the council's inclusion in the Carbon Management Programme (CMP). The CMP is a scheme in which the Carbon Trust provide consultants support for Local Authorities to undertake a coordinated approach to carbon reduction. This involves the identification of baseline data, priorities for action, project analysis and finally the production of a Strategic Implementation Programme (due in York in March 2008). The second part of the Environmental Sustainability Strategy dealt with the production of a Climate Change Strategy (CCS) for the city. It was endorsed by Executive in September that this should be produced and implemented by the Local Strategic Partnership as the council is not the only organisation responsible for tackling climate change.</p> <p>The CMP is however, one of the contributions to the city wide CCS from the council. An update report will be provided for the Executive in December 2007 to provide more details of the proposed projects to reduce the council's own carbon emissions under the CMP and the details of how the CCS for the city will be prepared and implemented and what it will cover.</p>
	2	<p>That the Local Development Framework and our present planning policy framework include a Calderdale/Merton Style Target. This target will require developers to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. at least 10% of all energy required is provided from renewable sources in all new and significantly refurbished developments from this point and up to 2010, including domestic development.</li> <li>ii. After 2010 the target rises for onsite embedded renewables to be greater than or equal to 15% between 2010 and 2015</li> <li>iii. Then rises again to be greater than or equal to 20% between 2015 and 2021 etc.</li> </ul> <p>This proposal to be referred to the LDF Working Group for their consideration</p>	<p>An Interim Planning Statement Sustainable Design and Construction will be presented to Planning Committee on the 22nd November for approval as a material consideration when considering planning proposals. It contains specific standards for different types of development. It includes a minimum standard of 10% of energy used in a development to be generated on site.</p>
	3	<p>That the City of York Council researches planning policies adopted by other local authorities with a view to applying them in York, if appropriate, in order to specifically ensure energy efficiency by design. That all plans submitted to the Local authority be tested on these criteria. The proposal to be referred to the LDF Working Group for their consideration.</p>	<p>An Interim Planning Statement Sustainable Design and Construction will be presented to Planning Committee on the 22nd November for approval as a material consideration when considering planning proposals. It contains specific standards for different types of development which cover such issues as energy use and efficiency, water efficiency, materials, design.</p>
	4	<p>That the authority enforce Parts L and F of the Building Regulations as a matter of urgency, resolving any training and resourcing issues that may need addressing, whilst recognising that the deployment of resources will be influenced by the Councils annual budget build process</p>	<p>CYC's Building Control section continue to actively enforce Parts L &amp; F and staff have attended a number of update seminars over the past year. The specific nature of thermal calculations has led to specialist "competent persons" (Government terminology for consultants who have recognised accreditation in a specific field) to come onto the construction market. The availability of these "competent persons" has enabled architects and developers to design and build to the standards are required in Parts F &amp; L. In addition the above mentioned IPS will help to implement improved standards via the planning system.</p>
	5	<p>That the Council, through officers in consultation with its Elected Member Energy Champion request that the Yorkshire and Humber Assembly and/or Yorkshire Forward facilitate region wide BREEAM assessor training for the region's Development Control (and other appropriate) Officers with the aim of reducing costs to individual Local Authorities, with the aim of reducing future expenditure.</p>	<p>BREEAM training has been undertaken with Elected Members and DC officers, this is regularly updated and has formed part of the wider training undertaken in preparation of the agreement of the IPS mentioned above.</p>
	6	<p>That information, including any response to regional questionnaires, on the Councils position be reported to the City Strategy EMAP at an appropriate time</p>	<p>This has been undertaken.</p>

Board and Topic	Rec No.	Recommendations as approved by the Executive on 7 November 2006	Update on Recommendations as of November 2007
<b>Reducing Carbon Emissions Scrutiny Sub-Committee (Review Completed Sept 2006)</b>	7	That the authority adopt clearer lines of communication to ensure that information already collated by Housing Officers regarding thermal efficiencies improvements and other Carbon reduction measures, is shared with the Sustainability Officer. This should be done to ensure housing data relevant to the developing Environmental Management System (EMAS) is integrated. Officers in Housing should work with the Authority's Sustainability Officer to agree the best format for such data sharing and, ensure advice regarding targeted improvements in housing and the reporting of these outcomes are delivered under EMAS	Housing collated data on thermal comfort is shared with the Sustainability officer
	8	That the Housing Strategy & Enabling Group - Housing Standards & Adaptations Officer and other officers in housing where relevant work with York EEAC officers consult upon, devise and adopt a 'Energy Efficiency and Thermal Comfort Strategy and Action Plan' for the authority commencing this year. The Officers are recommended to use the Regional Action Plan (Annex C) NEA guidance (Annex F) and activities outlined at paragraph 50 of this report as a primary steer in shaping the process	Private sector strategy will include action plan. Strategy timetabled to be completed July 08 on the back of stock condition survey - which will provide baseline information - due to report March 08. York EEAC officers part of steering group.
	9	That the Local Authority ensures that CYC Officer and Member Positions on the Energy Partnership Board are always filled.	Position filled
	10	That Annual and inter-year joint working be conducted between EEAC's Local Authority Support Programme Co-ordinator and CYC Housing Officers to ensure that opportunities for the use of renewables are considered as part of the HRA and Housing Capital Business plan. Where such opportunities are cost neutral or affordable within the life of the business plan, micro-renewables should be installed as part of the development of the business plan. Consultation between housing officers and EEAC should cover improvements scheduled to buildings fabric, such as photovoltaic roof tiles when roofs need replacement and/or heating, water systems replacements (i.e. can carbon minimising heat pumps be applied) etc; Consultation should also explore opportunities to bring in external grants revenue.	Sustainability issues are considered as part of the product specification and reviews of the HRA Business Plan. Recent changes to product specification for replacement central heating boilers which will result in reduced carbon emissions was considered and approved by EMAP.
Scrutiny Comment as of 26 February 2007: Executive have only recently considered and approved these recommendations therefore to soon to report on their implementation. Suggest update in September 2007			



Board and Topic	Rec No.	Recommendations as approved by the Executive on 13 February 2007	Update on Implementation of Recommendations as of November 2007
<b>Commercial Services Scrutiny Board - Recycling &amp; Re-use (Review Completed September 2006)</b>	1	<p>That further consideration be given to the Hazel Court site and surroundings with a view to applying additional spatial – i.e. site linked site facilities - features in partnership with others as per the Site Model based on Waste Hierarchy Principals in the report. Officers to prepare a report for the next meeting of the Executive detailing the proposed roll out arrangements for the cardboard collection service to the remaining 40,000 properties on alternate weekly collection, to ensure that this system maximises the diversion from landfill which can then be re-invested into the service for expansion to new areas</p>	<p>Cardboard collection to a total of 60,000 properties successfully rolled out on March 2006. Significant landfill diversion has been achieved as a result.</p>
	2	<p>That the City of York Council should consider the roll out recycling in Terraced Streets adopting the following good practice :</p> <p>i. Use slimmer recycling boxes with a smaller footprint for such areas to reduce impeding pedestrian use of pavements Officers to prepare a report for the next meeting of the Executive detailing the communication strategy for the Winter collection System and examining ways in which this can be done to reduce costs which can in turn be invested into the recycling service.</p> <p>ii. Ensure that changes to such services are communicated better to disabled people well in advance of the change and that this could be facilitated by using relevant advisory groups Officers to work with Equalities Officer to devise test schemes for box collection schemes in the City</p>	<p>Executive agreed 9/10/07 to begin trials in terraced areas. Various types of containers are to be tested during this trial. Equality issues will be addressed.</p>
	3	<p>That the City of York Council be recommended to consider paying Re-use Credits. That prior to the introduction of a scheme, Waste Strategy Officers at the City of York Council prepare a report for Member approval detailing;</p> <p>i. Best practice schemes already running at other Local Authorities including information about the effectiveness of the North Yorkshire County Council scheme</p>	<p>Re-use credits have been reviewed and considered too complicated to implement. There are issues that following re-use the product still has to be disposed of.</p>

Board and Topic	Rec No.	Recommendation as approved by the Executive on 7 November 2006	Update on Implementation of Recommendations as of November 2007
<b>Commercial Services Scrutiny Board - Recycling &amp; Re-use (Review Completed September 2006)</b>		ii. The likely cost impact of credits upon the authority iii. The terms of an appropriate scheme	
	4	That the City of York Council be recommended to consider paying Re-use Credits for the Bike Rescue Project. Officers to develop ways for improved working with the Bicycle Recovery Project at the Household Waste Recycling Centres.	This is on-going
	5	That further cross corporate work be done with the project managers and officers in Education, Youth Offending, Equalities and Sustainability and to ensure benefit from potential funding opportunities The recycling team to continue to co-ordinate applications for funding which can be utilised corporately from external sources	Work continues with these officers.
Previous comment from SMC as of 26 February 2007: Executive have only recently considered and approved these recommendations therefore to soon to report on their implementation. Suggest update in November 2007			